UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,143	11/24/2003	Damian Arregui	D/A2187	1142
	7590 12/31/200 UMENTATION CEN		EXAMINER	
XEROX CORPORATION			LIU, LIN	
ROCHESTER,	N AVE., SOUTH, XEROX SQUARE, 20TH FLOOR R, NY 14644		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2445	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/707,143	ARREGUI ET AL.  Art Unit			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LIN LIU	2445			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>LIN LIU</u> .	(3) <u>Obert Chu</u> .				
(2) <u>Ajay Bhatia</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 23 December 2008.					
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	r)⊠ applicant's representative	]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 6</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Serbinis et al. (Patent no.: US 6,584,466 B1)</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>A general discussion of the Obert Chu. No agreement was made. However, the examin matters in the area of: the document content, automatically highly rated documents. Such clarification will raise new su (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.</u>	the claims were conducted with the resuggests the Applicant to the detection and delivery of documents that which the examiner agree on the amendments that were conducted that we can be considered that we can be considered.	n Applicant's repre- further clarify the suments, electronic ne prior art of reco reed would rende	esentative, subject c tags, and ord. r the claims		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPL ' DAYS FROM TH WHICHEVER IS I	ICANT IS HIS		
/Ajay Bhatia/					